

ASHLAND PUBLIC SCHOOLS SUPERINTENDENT'S 2018-2019 EDUCATOR GOALS

This document outlines the Superintendent Evaluation Process as approved by Ashland School Committee Policy CBI. In addition, the goals of Superintendent Adams are presented for approval by the Ashland School Committee..

2018-2019
Superintendent Goals

SUPERINTENDENT EVALUATION PROCESS

The Superintendent shall present a series of goals, strategies, and indicators annually to the School Committee in accordance with the Massachusetts Model System for Superintendent Evaluation. The Superintendent and School Committee shall consult upon said goals attempting to reach mutual agreement. However, as the supervisor, the School Committee has final approval authority over the goals. Once the goals are approved, the Superintendent and the School Committee shall work together to implement the strategies necessary to achieve the goals.

The Superintendent shall collect data and will document the degree to which each goal is achieved. Data may include artifacts, written documents, survey data and other print or non-print materials. The superintendent shall keep said data in a professional portfolio. The portfolio and/or related materials supporting efforts to meet the goals will be shared with the School Committee.

The Superintendent shall produce two reports on progress towards achieving the annual goals. An interim report will be submitted to the School Committee in January. A final report will be submitted in May. It is understood that certain goals may be longer-term and may not be completed by the time the final report is submitted to the School Committee. In any case, the School Committee may request additional information or documentation on any goal(s) in order to make an informed evaluation rating or comment.

The Massachusetts Model System for Superintendent Evaluation developed by the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education, pursuant to the new educator evaluation regulation, 603 CMR 35.00 will be used for the superintendent's annual evaluation (*See Appendix 1*). Each School Committee member shall complete the official evaluation instrument. For each goal, the Committee member may rate the Superintendent's performance as follows:

Under the new regulations, the performance of every educator is rated against the four performance Standards defined in the educator evaluation regulations. All educators earn one of four ratings: *Proficient*, *Exemplary*, *Needs Improvement* or *Unsatisfactory*. It is expected that most effective educators will be rated Proficient on a standard rather than Exemplary because Exemplary is reserved for educators -superintendents included - whose practice in a particular area is so strong that it can be a model for others. Each rating has a specific meaning:

- ***Proficient*** performance is understood to be fully satisfactory. For the superintendent, and all other administrators as well as teachers, this is the rigorous expected level of performance. It is a demanding, but attainable level of performance.
- ***Exemplary*** performance represents a level of performance that exceeds the already high standard of Proficient. A rating of Exemplary is reserved for performance on an indicator or standard that is of such a high level that it could serve as a model for leaders regionally or statewide. Few educators—superintendents included—are expected to earn Exemplary ratings on more than a handful of Indicators.

- A rating of *Needs Improvement* represents performance that is below the requirements of a standard but is not considered to be Unsatisfactory at the time. Improvement is necessary and expected. For new educators, performance is on track to achieve proficiency within three years.
- *Unsatisfactory* performance is merited when performance has not significantly improved following a rating of Needs Improvement, or performance is consistently below the requirements of a standard and is considered inadequate, or both.

School Committee members shall also complete an overall rating for each of the major goal areas. In addition, Committee members may add written comments for any goal area.

The School Committee Chair shall collect completed evaluations from each Committee member. The chair will review and compile all individual evaluations into one composite evaluation. The composite shall become the official evaluation that is presented to the full Committee and the public.

The Policy Committee shall be responsible for routine review of this policy.

ADOPTED: 14 December 2000

REVISED: 10 May 2012

SOURCE: Ashland

Educator's Goals 2018-2019

Name: James E. Adams

Title: Superintendent of Schools

District Improvement Goal	Student Learning Goal
<p>SMART Goal I: Instructional Leadership and Standard IV Professional Culture</p> <p>Through a comprehensive approach a newly created Blueprint for Continuous Student Improvement will be created and approved by the School Committee for implementation beginning with the 2019-20 School Year.</p> <p>Standard I: Instructional Leadership:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> E-1, E-2, E-3 <p>Standard IV: Professional Culture</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A-1, A-2 	<p>SMART Goal II: Management and Operations</p> <p>During the 2018-2019 school year a plan will be developed and implemented in cooperation with the Director of Counseling and Social Emotional Learning to ensure Grades Pk-5 have established routines focusing on and Responsive Classroom. Ensure PBIS and RTI are fully, effectively, and appropriately implemented throughout Pk-12.</p> <p>Standard II: Environment Indicator</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A-3, C-1, and C-2
<p>Strategies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Perform SWOT (Strength Weakness Opportunities and Threats) Analysis with stakeholders Analyze most recent MCAS Data and other forms of data available to schools Develop a survey for students, parents, community members, and faculty/staff 	<p>Strategies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Perform a thorough analysis of the ASP Counseling departments Pk-12 Professional Development will focus on school safety and implementation of Responsive Classroom Support District and School Based PBIS Ensure RTI Process is Utilized Properly
<p>Resources:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Data from 2018 MCAS and other relevant data resources Survey of students, parents, community and faculty/staff Blueprint Working Committee Formation 	<p>Resources:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> MA Frameworks Professional development time to discuss lockdown procedures, Sandy Hook and Crisis Manager Student meeting time to discuss Sandy Hook
<p>Timeline:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Draft presented to School Committee by April 2019 May present draft to various community organizations June 2019 School Committee approves Blueprint 	<p>Timeline:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sandy Hook Promise rollout to faculty August 2018 Professional development October 2018 – Crisis Manager, Sandy Hook, and Lockdown Procedures Student training on Sandy Hook Promise October 2018 Analysis of counseling departments December 2018
<p>Evidence of Accomplishment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agenda and Minutes from the Blueprint Working Group Meetings Survey Administered to students, parents, community, and faculty/staff Approved Strategic Plan 	<p>Evidence of Accomplishment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Analysis of counseling departments presented to School Committee by December 2018 from Director of Counseling and Social Emotional Learning. Professional development calendar 2018-19 Log of PBIS and RTI Meetings

Educator's Goals 2018-2019

Name: James E. Adams

Title: Superintendent of Schools

Professional Practice Goal	District Improvement Goal
<p>SMART Goal III: Partnerships with Families and Community</p> <p>During the 2018-2019 school year, we will improve the quantity and quality of parent outreach and communication by communicating regularly with families through at least two modes of communication monthly (e.g. face-to-face, forums, newsletters, and zoom, etc.), as well as ensuring careful and prompt responses to communication from families, as measured by a pre and post parent survey, a completed administrative reflection and artifacts of practice demonstrating an increase in parent/administrator communication satisfaction.</p> <p>Standard III: Partnerships with Families and Community</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A-1, A-2, C-2, D-1 	<p>SMART Goal IV: Management and Operations</p> <p>During the 2018-19 school year, a complete review of the English Language Learner Program, the Pittaway Preschool Program, and the David Mindess Academic Program Study will be finalized with recommendations to the School Committee for program improvement, restructuring, and implementation beginning with the 2019-20 academic year.</p> <p>Standard I: Instructional Leadership:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • B-3, E-1, E-2, and E-3 <p>Standard IV: Professional Culture</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • F-3
<p>Strategies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use of multiple modes of communication - Email, Blogs, Zoom • Pre and post communication surveys • Routine community updates via email • Quarterly Zoom sessions • Three open meeting sessions with the Superintendent 	<p>Strategies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use of available data to analyze programs • Surveys to teachers, parents, and community members to gather information • Meetings with appropriate personnel
<p>Resources:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Zoom, Time, Building Space 	<p>Resources:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • David Mindess Academic Program Study Report • Pittaway Preschool Program Analysis, Report and Recommendation • Finalized report and recommendation for ELL Program • Time
<p>Timeline:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pre and post communication survey – November and June • Quarterly Zoom Sessions (November, February, April, June) • Open meetings December, March, and May 	<p>Timeline:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aug-December 2018 Pittaway Analysis • Aug-December 2018 ELL Analysis • Aug-December 2018 Mindess Program Study
<p>Evidence of Accomplishment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Analysis of pre and post communication survey 	<p>Evidence of Accomplishment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • David Mindess Academic Program Study Report to

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Evidence of communication• Log of quarterly Zoom Sessions (November, February, April, June)• Meetings postings and attendance sheets	<p>School Committee by January 31, 2019</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Pittaway Preschool Program Analysis, Report and Recommendation to School Committee by January 31, 2019• Finalized report and recommendation for ELL Program to School Committee by January 31, 2019• Minutes from meetings• Agendas from meetings• Survey results
--	---

DRAFT



Massachusetts Model System for Educator Evaluation

Part III: Guide to Rubrics and Model Rubrics for Superintendent, Administrator, and Teacher

Appendix A. Superintendent Rubric

Guide to Superintendent Rubric

Rubrics – defined in the regulations as “scoring tool[s] that describe characteristics of practice or artifacts at different levels of performance” ([603 CMR 35.02](#)) – are a critical component of the Massachusetts educator evaluation framework and are required for every educator. Rubrics are designed to help educators and evaluators (1) develop a consistent, shared understanding of what proficient performance looks like in practice, (2) develop a common terminology and structure to organize evidence, and (3) make informed professional judgments about formative and summative performance ratings on each Standard and overall. This appendix contains the ESE Model Superintendent Rubric.

Structure of the Superintendent Rubric

- **Standards:** Standards are the broad categories of knowledge, skills, and performance of effective practice detailed in the regulations. There are four Standards for all administrators: *Instructional Leadership*; *Management and Operations*; *Family and Community Engagement*; and *Professional Culture*.
- **Indicators:** Indicators, also detailed in the regulations, describe specific knowledge, skills, and performance for each Standard. For example, there are five Indicators in Standard I of the Superintendent rubric including *Curriculum*, *Instruction*, and *Evaluation*.
- **Elements:** The elements are more specific descriptions of actions and behaviors related to each Indicator. The elements further break down the Indicators into more specific aspects of educator practice and provide an opportunity for evaluators to offer detailed feedback that serves as a roadmap for improvement.
- **Descriptors:** Performance descriptors are observable and measurable statements of educator actions and behaviors aligned to each element and serve as the basis for identifying the level of teaching or administrative performance in one of four categories: *Unsatisfactory*, *Needs Improvement*, *Proficient*, or *Exemplary*.

Use of the Superintendent Rubric

This rubric describes administrative leadership practice at the district level. It is intended to be used throughout the 5 step evaluation cycle for the evaluation of the superintendent by the school committee. This rubric can also be used by the superintendent for the evaluation of other district level administrators, such as assistant superintendents, directors of curriculum and instruction, school business administrators, and directors of special education.

The responsibilities of administrators to whom this rubric will be applied may vary. ESE encourages administrators and evaluators to use the rubric strategically by discussing and agreeing upon certain Indicators and elements that should be high priorities according to that administrator’s role and responsibilities as well as his/her professional practice, student learning, and district and/or school improvement goals. There are a variety of ways to emphasize these components throughout the evaluation cycle. For example, high priority Indicators and/or elements can be analyzed in greater depth during self-assessment, targeted during goal setting, a focus for more comprehensive evidence



Standards and Indicators of Effective Administrative Leadership

Superintendent Rubric

collection, or all of the above. However, the expectation is that by the end of the evaluation cycle, administrators and evaluators have gathered and shared a reasonable amount of evidence on every Indicator to support a rating for each Standard.

Standard I: Instructional Leadership	Standard II: Management & Operations	Standard III: Family and Community Engagement	Standard IV: Professional Culture
<p>A. Curriculum Indicator</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Standards-Based Unit Design Lesson Development Support <p>B. Instruction Indicator</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Instructional Practices Quality of Effort & Work Diverse Learners' Needs <p>C. Assessment Indicator</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Variety of Assessments Adjustment to Practice <p>D. Evaluation Indicator</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Educator Goals Observations & Feedback Ratings Alignment Review 	<p>A. Environment Indicator</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Plans, Procedures, and Routines Operational Systems Student Safety, Health, and Social and Emotional Needs <p>B. Human Resources Management & Development Indicator</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Recruitment & Hiring Strategies Induction, Professional Development, and Career Growth Strategies <p>C. Scheduling & Management Information Systems Indicator</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Time for Teaching and Learning Time for Collaboration <p>D. Law, Ethics & Policies Indicator</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Laws and Policies Ethical Behavior 	<p>A. Engagement Indicator</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Family Engagement Community and Business Engagement <p>B. Sharing Responsibility Indicator</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Student Support Family Collaboration <p>C. Communication Indicator</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Two-Way Communication Culturally Proficient Communication <p>D. Family Concerns Indicator</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Family Concerns 	<p>A. Commitment to High Standards Indicator</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Commitment to High Standards Mission and Core Values Meetings <p>B. Cultural Proficiency Indicator</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Policies and Practices <p>C. Communications Indicator</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Communication Skills <p>D. Continuous Learning Indicator</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Continuous Learning of Staff Continuous Learning of Administrator

Note: At the *Exemplary* level, an educator's level of expertise is such that he or she is able to model this element through training, teaching, coaching, assisting, and/or demonstrating. In this rubric, this level of expertise is denoted by "Is able to model."

Standard I: Instructional Leadership	Standard II: Management & Operations	Standard III: Family and Community Engagement	Standard IV: Professional Culture
<p>E. Data-Informed Decision Making Indicator</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Knowledge & Use of Data 2. School and District Goals 3. Improvement of Performance, Effectiveness, and Learning 	<p>E. Fiscal Systems Indicator</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Fiscal Systems 		<p>E. Shared Vision Indicator</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Shared Vision Development <p>F. Managing Conflict Indicator</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Response to Disagreement 2. Conflict Resolution 3. Consensus Building

DRAFT

Standard I: Instructional Leadership. The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by cultivating a shared vision that makes powerful teaching and learning the central focus of schooling.

Indicator I-A. Curriculum: Ensures that all instructional staff design effective and rigorous standards-based units of instruction consisting of well-structured lessons with measurable outcomes.				
I-A. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
I-A-1. Standards-Based Unit Design	Does not set the expectation that administrators use effective strategies for ensuring development of well-designed standards-based units, provide adequate resources or support for this activity, and/or monitor or assess progress.	Provides limited training and/or support to administrators to employ effective strategies for ensuring well-designed standards-based units. May sometimes monitor and assess progress and provide feedback.	Provides support and assistance for administrators to learn and employ effective strategies for ensuring that educators and educator teams design standards-based units with measurable outcomes and challenging tasks requiring higher-order thinking. Frequently monitors and assesses progress, providing feedback as necessary.	Empowers administrators to employ strategies that empower staff to create rigorous standards-based units of instruction that are aligned across grade levels and content areas. Continually monitors and assesses progress, provides feedback, and connects administrators to additional supports as needed. Is able to model this element.
I-A-2. Lesson Development Support	Does not state expectations for administrators that they establish effective strategies to ensure development of well-structured lessons, does not provide training or support, and/or does not discriminate between strong and weak strategies for ensuring effective lesson-planning practices.	Provides limited training to administrators on how to establish effective strategies for ensuring that educators develop well-structured lessons and/or does not consistently identify and/or address patterns when there is evidence of a weak strategy being employed.	Supports administrators to learn and establish effective strategies for ensuring that educators develop well-structured lessons with challenging, measurable objectives and appropriate student engagement strategies, pacing, sequence, activities, materials, technologies, and grouping.	Supports administrators to collaborate on developing strategies that enable educators to consistently develop series of interconnected, well-structured lessons with challenging objectives and appropriate student engagement strategies, pacing, sequence, materials, and grouping and identifies specific exemplars and resources in each area. Is able to model this element.

Indicator I-B. Instruction: Ensures that practices in all settings reflect high expectations regarding content and quality of effort and work, engage all students, and are personalized to accommodate diverse learning styles, needs, interests, and levels of readiness.				
I-B. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
I-B-1. Instructional Practices	Does not look for evidence of and/or cannot accurately identify ways that principals identify effective teaching strategies when the principals observe practice and review unit plans..	While the superintendent may observe principal practice and artifacts, s/he only occasionally looks for evidence that principals are identifying effective teaching strategies and practices when they observe practice and review unit plans.	While observing principal practice and artifacts, ensures that principals identify a variety of effective teaching strategies and practices when they observe practice and review unit plans.	While observing principal practice and artifacts, ensures that principals know and employ effective strategies and practices for helping educators improve instructional practice. Is able to model this element.
I-B-2. Quality of Effort and Work	Does not set high expectations for the quality of content, student effort, and/or student work district-wide, or expectations are inappropriate.	May set high expectations for the quality of content, student effort, and student work district-wide, but allows expectations to be inconsistently applied across the district.	Sets and models high expectations for the quality of content, student effort, and student work district-wide and supports administrators to uphold these expectations consistently.	Sets and models high expectations for the quality of content, student effort, and student work district-wide and empowers administrators, educators and students to uphold these expectations consistently. Is able to model this element.
I-B-3. Diverse Learners' Needs	Does not look for evidence of and/or cannot accurately identify ways that principals identify effective teaching strategies and practices that are appropriate for diverse learners.	While the superintendent may observe principal practice, s/he only occasionally looks for evidence that principals are identifying effective teaching strategies and practices that are appropriate for diverse learners when they observe practices and review unit plans.	While observing principal practice, ensures that principals look for and identify a variety of teaching strategies and practices that are effective with diverse learners when they observe practices and review unit plans.	Employs strategies that ensure that principals know and consistently identify teaching strategies and practices that are meeting the needs of diverse learners while teaching their content. Is able to model this element.

Indicator I-C. Assessment: Ensures that all principals and administrators facilitate practices that propel personnel to use a variety of formal and informal methods and assessments to measure student learning, growth, and understanding and make necessary adjustments to their practice when students are not learning.				
I-C. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
I-C-1. Variety of Assessments	Does not communicate or monitor a strategy for assessments, leaving it up to administrators to design and implement their own strategies.	Provides administrators with some formal assessment options and suggests that they coordinate their assessment practices within their teams and include a variety of assessments but does not monitor this practice.	Supports administrator teams to use a variety of formal and informal methods and assessments, including common interim assessments that are aligned across grade levels and subject areas.	Leads administrator teams to develop and implement a comprehensive assessment strategy that includes ongoing informal assessment and common interim assessments that are aligned across grade levels and subject areas. Is able to model this element.
I-C-2. Adjustment to Practice	Does not encourage or facilitate administrator teams to review assessment data.	Suggests that administrator teams meet to review data and plan for adjustments and interventions but inconsistently monitors this practice.	Provides the resources for planning time and effective support for administrator teams to review assessment data and identify appropriate interventions and adjustments to practice. Monitors administrators' efforts and successes in this area.	Leads, plans, facilitates, and supports administrator team review meetings after each round of assessments. Monitors teams' plans, adjustments to instruction, and outcomes and shares lessons learned with others. Is able to model this element.

DRAFT

Indicator I-D. Evaluation: Provides effective and timely supervision and evaluation of all staff in alignment with state regulations and contract provisions.

I-D. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
I-D-1. Educator Goals	Does not support administrators to develop professional practice, student learning and/or district/school improvement goals, review the goals for quality, and/or support administrators in attaining goals.	Supports administrators and administrator teams to develop professional practice, student learning and, where appropriate, district/school improvement goals but does not consistently review them for quality and/or monitor progress.	Supports administrators and administrator teams to develop and attain meaningful, actionable, and measurable professional practice, student learning, and where appropriate, district/school improvement goals.	Supports administrators and administrator teams to develop and attain meaningful, actionable, and measurable professional practice, student learning and district/school improvement goals and models this process through the superintendent's own evaluation process and goals. Is able to model this element.
I-D-2. Observations and Feedback	Rarely conducts visits to observe principal practice and/or does not provide honest feedback to administrators who are not performing proficiently.	Makes infrequent unannounced visits to schools to observe principal practice, rarely provides feedback that is specific and constructive for administrators, and/or critiques struggling administrators without providing support to improve their performance.	Typically makes at least three unannounced visits to each school to observe principal practice every year and provides targeted constructive feedback to all administrators. Acknowledges effective practice and provides redirection and support for those whose practice is less than Proficient.	Makes unannounced visits to schools throughout the year to observe administrator practice and provides targeted constructive feedback to all administrators. Engages with all in conversations with all administrators about improvement, celebrates effective practice, and provides targeted support to administrators whose practice is less than <i>Proficient</i> . Is able to model this element.
I-D-3. Ratings	Assigns ratings for performance, goal attainment, and impact on student learning without collecting and analyzing sufficient and/or appropriate data or does not assign ratings for some administrators.	Assigns ratings for performance, goal attainment, and impact on student learning in a way that is not consistently transparent to administrators.	Exercises sound and reliable judgment in assigning ratings for performance, goal attainment, and impact on student learning and ensures that administrators understand why they received their ratings.	Exercises sound and reliable judgment in assigning ratings for performance, goal attainment, and impact on student learning. Ensures that administrators understand in detail why they received their ratings and provides effective support around this practice Is able to model this element.
I-D-4. Alignment Review	Does not review alignment between judgment about practice and data about student learning when evaluating and rating administrators.	Occasionally reviews alignment between judgment about practice and student learning data.	Consistently reviews alignment between judgment about practice and student learning data and provides guidance to administrators to make informed decisions about educator support and evaluation based upon this review.	Studies alignment between judgment about practice and data about student learning when evaluating and rating administrators and provides effective support around this practice. Is able to model this element.

Indicator I-E. Data-Informed Decision Making: Uses multiple sources of evidence related to student learning, including state, district, and school assessment results and growth data, to inform school and district goals and improve organizational performance, educator effectiveness, and student learning.				
I-E. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
I-E-1. Knowledge and Use of Data	Relies on too few data sources to represent the full picture of school or district performance, and/or does not analyze the data accurately.	May work with administrators to identify multiple sources of student learning data, but these data do not provide multiple perspectives on performance, and/or analysis of the data is sometimes inaccurate.	Guides administrators and supports them in identifying a range of appropriate data sources and effectively analyze the data for decision-making purposes.	Leads administrator teams to identify a range of appropriate data sources, including non-traditional information that offers a unique perspective on school and district performance, and models effective data analysis for staff. Is able to model this element.
I-E-2. School and District Goals	Gathers limited information on school and district strengths and weaknesses and/or does not use these data to inform district plans or actions.	Assesses school and district strengths and weaknesses using data that are not carefully analyzed and/or writes an unfocused strategic plan.	Uses data to accurately assess school and district strengths and areas for improvement to inform the creation of focused, measurable district goals. Provides support to principals in their efforts to create focused, measurable school goals.	Involves stakeholders in a comprehensive diagnosis of school and district strengths and weaknesses using appropriate data, and leads a collaborative process to develop a focused, results-oriented strategic plan with annual goals. Is able to model this element.
I-E-3. Improvement of Performance, Effectiveness, and Learning	Does not share assessment data with administrators or provide them with resources and support to use data to make adjustments to school or district plans, and/or model appropriate data analysis strategies.	Shares limited data with administrators to identify student and/or educator subgroups that need support; provides limited assistance to administrator teams in using data to improve performance.	Uses multiple data sources to evaluate administrator and district performance. Provides administrators and administrator teams with the resources and support to disaggregate assessment data and assists them in identifying students who need additional support.	Uses multiple data sources to evaluate administrator and district performance. Provides administrators and administrator teams with the resources and support to disaggregate assessment data and assists them in identifying students who need additional support. Empowers educators to use a range of data sources to pinpoint areas for their own and schoolwide improvement. Is able to model this element.

Standard II: Management and Operations. Promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by ensuring a safe, efficient, and effective learning environment, using resources to implement appropriate curriculum, staffing, and scheduling

Indicator II-A. Environment: Develops and executes effective plans, procedures, routines, and operational systems to address a full range of safety, health, and emotional and social needs.				
II-A. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
II-A-1. Plans, Procedures, and Routines	Does not organize the district effectively for orderly and efficient movement of students.	May establish plans, procedures, and routines to guide administrators, but student entry, dismissal, meals, class transitions, assemblies, and recess are not consistently orderly and/or efficient.	Develops systems, plans, procedures, and routines for administrators to implement that generally ensure orderly and efficient student entry, dismissal, meals, class transitions, assemblies, and recess.	Establishes systems, plans, procedures, and routines that empower administrators, students and staff to implement orderly and efficient student entry, dismissal, meals, class transitions, assemblies, and recess. Is able to model this element.
II-A-2. Operational Systems	Fails to establish systems and procedures to support custodial and/or other staff, so that the campus is not generally clean, attractive, welcoming, and/or safe.	Develops systems and procedures that result in inconsistent supervision and/or support of custodial and other staff, resulting in a campus that is not consistently clean, attractive, welcoming, or safe.	Develops systems and procedures for the effective supervision and support of custodial, clerical, food services, and other staff effectively so that the campus is clean, attractive, welcoming, and safe.	Creates and maintains a district environment in which custodial and other staff take personal responsibility for keeping the campus clean, attractive, welcoming, and safe. Is able to model this element.
II-A-3. Student Safety, Health, and Social and Emotional Needs	Does not develop consistent procedures for student discipline; district disciplinary practice varies from school to school; often tolerates discipline violations and/or enforces district policies or procedures inconsistently.	May urge administrators to demand good student behavior but allows varying standards to exist in different schools. Supervises and supports administrators in addressing student discipline and bullying matters on a case-by-case basis in the absence of a system of procedures and consequences.	Supports administrator teams in developing systems and procedures for positive student behavior; models high expectations for student behavior and provides appropriate training for administrators to uphold these expectations. Establishes district-wide routines and consequences, including policies and systems to prevent and address bullying and other behaviors that threaten students' social and emotional well-being.	Guides administrators and teams to develop practices that consistently showcase high expectations for student behavior and invest staff and students in upholding these expectations. Successfully implements district-wide routines and consequences such that students take ownership over addressing bullying and other behaviors that threaten students' social and emotional well-being. Is able to model this element.

Indicator II-B. Human Resources Management and Development: Implements a cohesive approach to recruitment, hiring, induction, development, and career growth that promotes high-quality and effective practice.				
II-B. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
II-B-1. Recruitment and Hiring Strategies	Does not successfully lead the recruitment and hiring process.	Leads the recruitment and hiring process but does not consistently identify effective administrators and educators.	Leads the district's recruitment and hiring process and, through it, consistently identifies effective administrators and educators who share the district's mission.	Consistently identifies effective administrators and educators who share the district's mission. Empowers administrators and faculty members to share in a structured, consistent interview process. Is able to model this element.
II-B-2. Induction, Professional Development, and Career Growth Strategies	Does not support new administrators, provide guidance to them to support educators, organize high-quality job-embedded professional development, and/or support the career growth of effective educators.	Develops only a limited district-wide induction program for new administrators and teachers and/or inconsistently implements the district's induction strategy; organizes job-embedded professional development that is not consistently high quality or aligned with goals; and/or does not consistently support effective administrators' and educators' career growth. Does not establish criteria for the awarding of professional status.	Develops district-wide induction support for new administrators and teachers and/or faithfully implements the district's induction strategy; organizes high-quality job-embedded professional development aligned with district goals; and supports the career growth of effective professional personnel by distributing leadership tasks, developing criteria for the awarding of professional status, and monitoring progress and development.	Facilitates the administrator-led design and implementation of induction support, job-embedded professional development, and career growth support all of which are aligned with district goals; are consistently viewed by professional personnel as effective and helpful, and provide multiple opportunities for administrator and educator growth and learning. Leads the administrator team in developing district criteria for the awarding of professional status. Is able to model this element.

Indicator II-C. Scheduling and Management Information Systems: Uses systems to ensure optimal use of data and time for teaching, learning, and collaboration, minimizing disruptions and distractions for school-level staff.				
II-C. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
II-C-1. Time for Teaching and Learning	Does little to minimize disruptions to instructional time and minimize disruptions and distractions for school-level staff, including principals.	Generally acts to minimize disruptions to instructional time and minimize disruptions and distractions for school-level staff, including principals.	Creates schedules, procedures and related systems that maximize instructional time and minimize school day disruptions and distractions for school-level staff, including principals; and consistently monitors the extent to which these systems are effective	Empowers administrators and teams to contribute to the design and monitoring of district systems that maximize instructional time and minimize disruptions and distractions for all school-level staff. Is able to model this element.
II-C-2. Time for Collaboration	Sets unrealistic expectations for administrator team meetings if at all and/or does not create a schedule that provides adequate meeting time for teams. Does not work to prevent or deflect time-wasting activities. Does not establish norms for the administrator team meetings.	Sets inconsistent expectations for administrator team meetings and/or creates a schedule that only provides adequate meeting time for some team meetings. Works to prevent or deflect activities with limited success. Norms for team behavior are unclear and/or not consistently practiced.	Sets expectations for administrator team meetings and creates a schedule that provides sufficient meeting time for all team meetings. Prevents or deflects activities that interfere with administrators' ability to focus on the agenda during team time. Establishes norms for effective team behavior.	Is transparent and forthcoming about expectations for all administrator team meetings; creates and implements a schedule that maximizes meeting time for all team members. Collaborates with team members to develop team norms. Is able to model this element.

Indicator II-D. Laws, Ethics, and Policies: Understands and complies with state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, collective bargaining agreements, and ethical guidelines.				
II-D. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
II-D-1. Laws and Policies	Demonstrates lack of awareness or consistent non-compliance with some or all state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, or collective bargaining agreements.	May know state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, and collective bargaining agreements, but inconsistently complies with some laws or policies.	Understands and complies with state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, and collective bargaining agreements. Provides the resources and support to ensure district-wide compliance.	Provides the resources and support for all school personnel to understand and comply with state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, and collective bargaining agreements. Is able to model this element.
II-D-2. Ethical Behavior	Demonstrates lack of sound judgment reflecting integrity and fairness and/or does not adequately protect administrator, student, family, and/or staff confidentiality.	Generally demonstrates sound judgment reflecting integrity and fairness with occasional lapses in judgment and/or does not always protect administrator, student, family, and staff confidentiality appropriately.	Reliably demonstrates sound judgment reflecting integrity and fairness; protects administrator, student, family, and staff confidentiality appropriately; and expects all district personnel to reflect this practice.	Reliably demonstrates sound judgment reflecting integrity and fairness; protects administrator, student, family, and staff confidentiality appropriately. Effectively supports all staff to do both as well. Is able to model this element.

Indicator II-E. Fiscal Systems: Develops a budget that supports the district's vision, mission, and goals; allocates and manages expenditures consistent with district- and school-level goals and available resources.				
II-E. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
II-E-1. Fiscal Systems	Builds a budget that does not align with the district's goals or mismanages available resources.	Develops a budget that loosely aligns with the district's vision, mission, and goals or inconsistently manages expenditures and available resources.	Develops a budget that aligns with the district's vision, mission, and goals. Allocates and manages expenditures consistent with district/school-level goals and available resources.	Leads the administrator team to develop a district budget that aligns with the district's vision, mission, and goals with supporting rationale; uses budget limitations to create new opportunities for improvement, when possible; allocates and manages expenditures consistent with district/school-level goals; and seeks alternate funding sources as needed. Is able to model this element.

Standard III: Family and Community Engagement. Promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff through effective partnerships with families, community organizations, and other stakeholders that support the mission of the school and district.

Indicator III-A. Engagement: Actively ensures that all families are welcome members of the classroom and school community and can contribute to the effectiveness of the classroom, school, district and community.				
III-A. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
III-A-1. Family Engagement	Does little to welcome families as members of the district, classroom or school community or tolerates an environment that is unwelcoming to some families.	May provide some resources and support and make some attempts to welcome families as members of the district, classroom and school community but does not consistently use culturally sensitive practices and/or work to identify and remove barriers to family involvement.	Provides resources and support for all personnel to use culturally sensitive practices to ensure that all families are welcome and can contribute to the district, classroom, school and community's effectiveness. Works with administrators to identify and remove barriers to families' involvement, including families whose home language is not English.	Provides resources and support for all personnel to use culturally sensitive practices and successfully engages most families, ensuring that all families are welcome and can contribute to district, classroom, school, and community effectiveness. Works with administrators, families, and organizations to identify and remove barriers to family involvement, including families whose home language is not English. Is able to model this element.
III-A-2. Community and Business Engagement	Limits work to the immediate context of the schools. Does not make efforts to reach out to community organizations, community members, or businesses that could otherwise contribute to district effectiveness.	Engages some community organizations, community members, and/or businesses in annual district events but does not make efforts to increase their involvement to maximize community contributions for district effectiveness.	Establishes ongoing relationships with community organizations, community members, and businesses. Engages them to increase their involvement to maximize community contributions for district effectiveness.	Establishes strategic partnerships with community organizations, community members, and businesses that improve district effectiveness. Works to increase the types and number of organizations with whom the district partners in order to deepen relationships and increase partner contribution. Is able to model this element.

Indicator III-B. Sharing Responsibility: Continuously collaborates with families and community stakeholders to support student learning and development at home, school, and in the community.

III-B. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
III-B-1. Student Support	Does not work with administrators to support educators to identify student needs, does not work with administrators to support families to address student needs, and/or does not draw upon internal or external resources.	Asks administrators to identify students struggling academically or behaviorally and/or work with a limited number of families to address student needs, utilizing a limited set of resources.	Provides resources and support to enable administrators and educators to identify each student's academic, social, emotional, and behavioral needs, including students with disabilities and English learners. Collaborates with administrators to support families to address student needs, utilizing resources within and outside of the district.	Provides resources and support to enable administrators and educators to identify each student's academic, social, emotional, and behavioral needs, including students with disabilities and English learners. Collaborates with administrators to support families to effectively address student needs and prevent further challenges, connecting students with a network of resources within and outside the district. Is able to model this element.
III-B-2. Family Collaboration	Does not set clear expectations or provide support for administrators to regularly communicate with families on ways to support their children's learning at home and at school.	Sets general expectations and provides occasional support for administrators to engage families in supporting their children's learning at school and at home and/or supporting their children with disabilities or limited English proficiency.	Sets clear expectations for and supports administrators to regularly engage families in supporting learning at school and home, including appropriate adaptation for students with disabilities or limited English proficiency.	Sets clear expectations and provides differentiated resources to support administrators to consistently and regularly engage all families in supporting their children's learning at school and home, including families and children with limited English proficiency and/or children with disabilities. Is able to model this element.

Indicator III-C. Communication: Engages in regular, two-way, culturally proficient communication with families and community stakeholders about student learning and performance.				
III-C. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
III-C-1. Two-Way Communication	Does not set clear expectations for or provide support to administrators to communicate with families. District communication regarding student learning and performance primarily occurs through school report cards.	May set expectations for and provide limited support to administrators to communicate with families but does not stress the importance of two-way communication channels. District communication regarding student learning and performance primarily occurs through school newsletters and other one-way media.	Sets clear expectations for and provides support to administrators to communicate regularly with families using two-way communication channels, including careful and prompt response to communications from families. Supports administrators to maximize the number of face-to-face family/teacher interactions.	Sets clear expectations for and provides differentiated support to ensure that all administrators design and implement frequent personalized communications, respond carefully and promptly to communications from families, and solicit feedback from families that informs improvement to communication plans. Is able to model this element.
III-C-2. Culturally Proficient Communication	Does not set clear expectations for or provide support to administrators regarding culturally sensitive communication and/or allows inappropriate disrespectful communication with families that ignores different family cultural norms.	May set expectations for administrators regarding culturally sensitive communication but does not provide support to them; and/or occasionally communicates in ways that are culturally insensitive to some families' home language, culture, and values.	Sets clear expectations for and provides support to administrators regarding culturally sensitive communication. Ensures that district-wide communication with families is always respectful and demonstrates understanding of and sensitivity to different families' home language, culture, and values.	Sets clear expectations for, models, and provides differentiated support regarding culturally sensitive communication. Ensures that district-wide communication with families is always respectful and demonstrates understanding and appreciation of different families' home language, culture, and values. Is able to model this element.

Indicator III-D. Family Concerns: Addresses family and community concerns in an equitable, effective, and efficient manner.				
III-D. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
III-D-1. Family Concerns	Fails to provide systems and support for personnel to consistently reach out to families in response to concerns, and agreed-upon solutions are often not in the best interest of students.	May systems and support to address concerns with families as they arise, but agreed-upon solutions are not always in the best interest of students.	Provides systems, and support for administrators to reach out to families as concerns arise and works to reach equitable solutions in the best interest of students.	Provides system and support for all school personnel to reach out to families proactively, as soon as concerns arise. Effectively reaches equitable solutions that satisfy families, faculty, and staff and are in the best interest of students. Is able to model this element.

Standard IV: Professional Culture. Promotes success for all students by nurturing and sustaining a school culture of reflective practice, high expectations, and continuous learning for staff.

Indicator IV-A. Commitment to High Standards: Fosters a shared commitment to high standards of service, teaching and learning with high expectations for achievement for all.				
IV-A. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
IV-A-1. Commitment to High Standards	Does not encourage high standards of teaching and learning or high expectations for achievement with the administrator team, and/or may demonstrate low expectations for faculty and staff.	May ask administrators for commitment to high standards of teaching and learning with high expectations for achievement for all but does not support and/or model it.	Fosters a shared commitment to high standards of teaching and learning, for all administrators, with high expectations for achievement for all.	Leads administrators in developing a shared commitment to high standards of teaching and learning with high expectations for achievement for all. Revisits and renews commitment with administrator team regularly. Is able to model this element.
IV-A-2. Mission and Core Values	Does not develop core values and mission statements for the school.	May develop core values and mission statements but rarely uses them to guide decision making.	Develops, promotes, and models commitment to core values that guide the development of a succinct, results-oriented mission statement and ongoing decision making.	Leads administrators to develop core values and mission statements, share these statements with families and the school district community, and use them to guide decision making. Is able to model this element.
IV-A-3. Meetings	Leads administrator meetings that lack clear purpose and/or are primarily used for one-way informational updates.	May lead administrator meetings that include both one-way informational updates and participatory activities focused on matters of consequence, but does not clearly establish norms.	Plans and leads well-run and engaging administrator meetings that have clear purpose, focus on matters of consequence, and engage participants in a thoughtful and productive series of conversations and deliberations. Establishes clear norms for administrator team behavior.	Plans and facilitates engaging administrator team meetings in which small groups of administrators learn together and create solutions to instructional leadership issues. Team has established norms for behavior and consistently adheres to them. Consistently evaluates the effectiveness of the administrator team meetings. Is able to model this element.

Indicator IV-B. Cultural Proficiency: Ensures that policies and practices enable staff members and students to interact effectively in a culturally diverse environment in which students' backgrounds, identities, strengths, and challenges are respected.

IV-B. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
IV-B-1. Policies and Practices	Develops and implements culturally insensitive or inappropriate policies, does not support administrators and staff in building cultural proficiency, and/or creates a culture that minimizes the importance of individual differences.	Takes pride in having a diverse administration, faculty and/or student body, but some policies are not culturally sensitive; and/or provides limited resources for administrators to support the development of cultural proficiency.	Develops and implements culturally sensitive policies that acknowledge the diverse backgrounds, identities, strengths, and challenges of administrators, students and staff. Provides administrators with relevant resources to support them in building cultural proficiency and promotes a culture that affirms individual differences.	Leads stakeholders to develop and implement culturally sensitive policies that acknowledge the diverse backgrounds, identities, strengths, and challenges of administrators, students and staff. Empowers administrators with time, resources, and support to build cultural proficiency and collaborates with community members to create a culture that affirms individual differences. Is able to model this element.

Indicator IV-C. Communications: Demonstrates strong interpersonal, written and verbal communication skills.

IV-C. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
IV-C-1. Communication Skills	Demonstrates ineffectual interpersonal, written, or verbal communication skills at times.	May demonstrate adequate interpersonal, written, and verbal communication skills but sometimes makes grammatical errors or has difficulty expressing ideas to stakeholders.	Demonstrates strong interpersonal, written, and verbal communication skills.	Demonstrates strong context- and audience-specific interpersonal, written, and verbal communication skills. Is able to model this element.

Indicator IV-D. Continuous Learning: Develops and nurtures a culture in which staff members are reflective about their practice and use student data, current research, best practices and theory to continuously adapt practice and achieve improved results. Models these behaviors in the administrator's own practice.				
IV-D. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
IV-D-1. Continuous Learning of Staff	Accepts the practice of administrators working largely in isolation, without consideration of data and best practices, and/or discourages reflection among administrators, faculty and staff.	May encourage administrators to reflect on the effectiveness of interactions with faculty and students and to use data and best practices to adapt practice but does not support administrators in these practices.	Leads all administrators and teams to reflect on the effectiveness of interactions with faculty and students. Ensures that administrators use data, research, and best practices to adapt practice to achieve improved results.	Models for administrators how to reflect on the effectiveness of interactions with faculty and students and uses data, research, and best practices to adapt practice to achieve improved results. Supports all educators to work in teams as often as is feasible and appropriate. Is able to model this element.
IV-D-2. Continuous Learning of Administrator	Does not reflect on personal practice or demonstrate new ways of thinking about administration and leadership.	Occasionally reflects on personal practice, sets meaningful goals, and/or researches ways to improve efficiency and practice.	Reflects on and improves personal practice, sets meaningful goals, and develops new approaches in order to improve efficiency and practice.	Demonstrates openness and commitment to learning; reflects on personal practice; and relies on student data, current research, and best practice to improve own leadership. Is able to model this element.

Indicator IV-E. Shared Vision: Continuously engages all stakeholders in the creation of a shared educational vision in which every student is prepared to succeed in postsecondary education and become a responsible citizen and global contributor.				
IV-E. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
IV-E-1. Shared Vision Development	Does little to engage stakeholders in the creation of a shared educational vision, or the vision is disconnected from college and career readiness, civic engagement, and/or community contributions.	Engages administrators, staff, students, families, and community members in developing a vision focused on some aspects of student preparation for college and career readiness, civic engagement, and community contributions.	At all grade levels, continuously engages administrators, staff, students, families, and community members in developing a vision focused on student preparation for college and career readiness, civic engagement, and community contributions.	Leads administrators, staff, students of all ages, families, and community members to develop and internalize a shared educational vision around preparation for college and careers and responsible citizenship. Is able to model this element.

Indicator IV-F. Managing Conflict: Employs strategies for responding to disagreement and dissent, constructively resolving conflict and building consensus throughout a district or school community.				
IV-F. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
IV-F-1. Response to Disagreement	Does not respond to disagreement or dissent and/or does not use appropriate, respectful, non-confrontational approaches.	May respond respectfully to disagreement and dissent, but responds inconsistently and does not always employ a non-confrontational approach	Employs a non-confrontational approach for responding respectfully and appropriately to disagreement and dissent, using both as opportunities for learning. Models this practice for the administrator team.	Models a variety of strategies for responding respectfully and effectively to disagreement and dissent, using both as opportunities for learning. Provides professional development for the administrator team to build these conflict resolution strategies. Is able to model this element.
IV-F-2. Conflict Resolution	Does not address conflicts in a solution-oriented and/or respectful manner.	May attempt to respectfully resolve conflicts as they arise, but employs only a limited range of strategies.	Consistently employs a variety of strategies to resolve conflicts in a constructive and respectful manner. Models this behavior for the administrator team.	Consistently employs a variety of strategies to resolve conflicts in a constructive and respectful manner and empowers and supports administrators to use these approaches. Is able to model this element.
IV-F-3. Consensus Building	Does not attempt to build consensus within the district community, or attempts at consensus-building around critical school decisions are unsuccessful.	Employs a limited number of strategies to build consensus within the school district community, with varying degrees of success.	Builds consensus within the school district community around critical school decisions, employing a variety of strategies.	Employs a variety of strategies to build consensus within the school district community around critical school decisions, while encouraging dialogue and different points of view. Is able to model this element.